

## Seamons, Colleen

---

**From:** Phillip Freestun [Phillipf@1e.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 24 February 2010 11:01 AM  
**To:** submissions  
**Subject:** Raw milk cheese choice in Australia - FSANZ PROPOSALS p1007

**Categories:** Blue Category

Submission regarding Proposal P1007 - Primary Production & Processing Requirements For Raw Milk Products  
By Phillip Freestun IT Consultant, POBox 581 Paddington Q 4064 Tel 0400729250 [phill\\_freestun@hotmail.com](mailto:phill_freestun@hotmail.com)

### **Overarching questions:**

1) *The overarching scope of the Proposal is assessing the safety of raw milk products using the Category Framework. FSANZ has undertaken a Technical Assessment based on three Risk Assessments (Raw Cow Milk, Raw Goat Milk and Raw Milk Cheese), a Consumer Study and Nutrition Assessment – Can you identify any aspects we have not covered at this point?*

The Proposals exaggerate the risks of raw milk products.

They state that “Because of the potential for raw milk to be contaminated with pathogens, raw milk and products made from raw milk present a high level of risk to public health and safety if there are no control measures to manage the microbiological hazards that may be present.”

It is a false assumption that the risks are “high level” for raw milk products. A more realistic description for raw milk products is “they present an *additional* risk to public health and safety compared with products made from correctly pasteurised milk”.

2) *We have summarised the impacts by option in Table 1 in the Report. Do you have any comments on the overall assessment? Can you identify other benefits and costs to the affected parties?*

For raw milk cheese, the overall assessment seems to be far more alarmist than the technical assessment suggests. I consider that the technical assessment indicates that all soft cheese should be placed in Category 2, reserving Category 3 for raw drinking milk alone.

### **Consumers:**

3) *Would Australian consumers benefit from a greater range of cheeses and dairy products?*

This is a redundant question – of course consumers will benefit. Not only from a greater choice but also better quality and taste.

4) *FSANZ has received comments that raw milk cheeses are likely to be gourmet, high-end market products. Costs associated with ensuring the safety of products may also be passed on to the customer - if raw milk cheeses were permitted:*

a. *How much would you be willing to pay for such cheeses?*

So what! The market will decide. Roquefort is more expensive than other blue cheeses but consumers happily pay the premium.

b. *Are you willing to pay more than the cost of current gourmet cheeses?*

Probably – these cheeses would usually be found on a cheese plate at special occasions.

c. *Are you prepared to pay more if there are added costs in ensuring the safety of raw milk products?*

Yes

*d. Would you choose to purchase an Australian raw milk cheese over an imported equivalent?*

Stupid question – What is meant by equivalent? Style or quality? We are talking food here. It's the taste that matters. Just ask the same question of wine.

---

DISCLAIMER: This is a PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL message for the ordinary user of this email address. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery. NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind 1E to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose.